United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,330	04/20/2004	Akifumi Nakamura	26102	2932
20529 7590 01/30/2007 NATH & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
112 South Wes	t Street		HODGES, MATTHEW P	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE		Y MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/827,330	NAKAMURA, AKIFUMI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Matt P. Hodges	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oc	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 October 2006</u> .					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	, -					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10 and 11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	i_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
_	<u> </u>					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
I) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/827,330

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. Specifically the title should reflect at least broadly what the applicant views as his invention. For example, applicant might include language to indicate the use of a compound cathode or the use of a diffused metal cathode. A more descriptive title advantageously allows for greater clarity of the application compared to other similar devices.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hung et al. (US 6,140,763).

Regarding claim 7, Hung discloses (see figure 3) an organic EL device including in order a substrate (302), an anode (304), an organic layer (320) including a light emitting layer (324), and a combined cathode layer (330 and 310). The cathode layer is further divided into a buffer layer (330) with an alkali metal and Oxygen included and a low resistance metal layer (310) also

including the alkali metal and formed on top of the buffer layer. (Column 10 lines 40-67). The alkali metal is Cs while the low resistance metal is Al. The low electric resistance metal layer provides the Cs to be diffused to the buffer layer and thus enables the metal to be diffused. Further the language "both the light-emitting layer and the low electric resistance metal layer enable the Cs to be diffused" does not appear to structurally distinguish the device in any way. See response to remarks below for further details.

Regarding claim 10, Hung further discloses the width of the buffer layer with included alkali ions being between 15nm thick. (Column 14 lines 20-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hung et al. (US 6,140,763).

Regarding claim 11, Hung discloses the device as claimed (see rejection of claim 7 above) but does not appear to specify the width of the low resistance metal layer being 200nm. However the applicant fails to identify the use of a 200 nm low resistance layer to solve any problem or yield any unexpected result that is not within in the scope of the teachings relied upon. Further selection of the width of the cathode is dependent on the desired resistance of the final device along with the surface area covered by the cathode. The effect of the width on these

values is well understood and varying the width of the low resistance cathode to control these attributes would require only routine experimentation by one having ordinary skill in the art.

Thus it would have been an obvious design choice to one having ordinary skill in the art to use a 200 nm low resistance layer in the device as disclosed by Hung, since such a modification would only require routing experimentation of known attributes and values.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/30/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's assertion that the cited art (Hung) does not disclose the use of an organic layer diffused with Cs atoms, the examiner respectfully disagrees that such a requirement exists in the claims as currently amended. The language does not positively define any structural differences in the device. At high deposition energies the Cs atoms would be diffused into the light emitting organic layers. In that regard, the organic layers can be deemed to enable the diffusion of the metal atoms. Further the buffer layer, with diffused Cs atoms is formed directly on the organic light emitting layer as required.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2879

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt P Hodges whose telephone number is (571) 272-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800